

REVIEW

Of the article to the scientific journal «*Theoretical and Applied Veterinary Medicine*»

Article title: _____

Article content		Yes	No	Comments
1	Does the article correspond to the journal thematic?	+		
2	Does the title of the article correspond to its content?			
3	Are the issues that are highlighted relevant?			
4	Ukrainian (Russian) abstract corresponds to the formal journal requirements (1800-2000 characters, absence of general statements).			
5	Does the English abstract correspond to the formal journal requirements (1800-2000 characters, absence of general statements), written correctly, reveals the main results of the work?			
6	Keywords (3-6 phrases) do not replicate the title of the article and match the content?			
7	Does the Introduction allow to assess the current state of the problem at the global level (contains at least 10-15 references to periodical scientific publications over the past 5-10 years)?			
8	Does the aim of the work formulated correctly, correspond to the content and title of the article?			
9	Are the materials and methods described informatively and accurately?			
10	Is the number of repeated measures sufficient enough, the sample size corresponds to a similar study published in international scientific journals?			
11	Are the research data processed by correct and modern statistical methods?			
12	Does the article contain the optimal amount of graphics material?			
13	Are the tables appropriate enough, correctly formatted (are they presented processed, reliability is estimated, repetition is indicated and notes contain all data)?			
14	Are discussions present as a separate section of the article?			
15	The discussion section contains references to similar works in international scientific journals.			
16	Are the actual results of the authors compared with the digital data of foreign sources?			
17	Is the author's argumentation logical in the discussions, are the conclusions justified?			
18	The text of the paper does not contain spelling and grammatical errors. The article is written correctly.			
19	Conclusions are concrete corresponding to the aim and the title of the article and do not literally duplicate the abstract.			
20	References are in accordance with APA requirements and with DOI indices.			
21	References contain 80% of sources with DOI, at least 50% over the past 10 years			
22	Are references to other works sufficient and necessary?			

Recommendations

- +] Accept the article as presented
-] Accept the article but with minor corrections
-] The article requires significant revision
-] Publication is not possible

Would you like to see the revised article?			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No

Comments

(Please use additional sheets. It is better to number comments and recommendations)

Peer review: the name of the reviewer is not disclosed to the author

Reviewer _____
 Date _____

Signature _____